

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,649 05/21/2001		Neville John Freeman	001394	7643
22876	7590 12/31/2002			
FACTOR & PARTNERS, LLC 1327 W. WASHINGTON BLVD. SUITE 5G/H			EXAMINER	
			NOGUEROLA, ALEX	ANDER STEPHAN
CHICAGO, IL 60607			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	•,
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary  Examiner  Art Unit  ALEX NOGUEROLA  1743  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cov r sheet with th correspond nc address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				1				
Examiner   Art Unit   ALEX NOGUEROLA   1743	•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
ALEX NOGUEROLA   1743			09/700,649	FREEMAN ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cov r sheet with th correspond not address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extencions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed at the SIX (b) MCINTES from the mailing date of this communication of 3 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed at the SIX (b) MCINTES from the mailing date of this communication of 1 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed at the SIX (b) MCINTES from the mailing date of this communication of the CFR 1.136(a), in the statutory information of thinky 00) days will be considered timely.  1 MD period for reply is specified about him the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reply to the original to the statutory plant will apply and will explose (s) MCINTES from the mailing date of this communication.  2 Part of the statutor original to the statutory plant will apply and will explose (s) MCINTES from the mailing date of this communication.  3 Part of the statutor original to the statutory plant will apply and will explose (s) MCINTES from the mailing date of this communication.  3 Part of the statutor original to the statutory plant will apply and will explose the statutory plant will be statutory.  4 Part of the statutor original to the statutory plant will apply and will explose the statutory.  4 Part of the statutor original to the mail to the statutory plant will be statutory.  4 Part of the statutory original to the statutory original to the statutory.  5 Part of the statutory original to the statutory original to the statutory.  5 Part of the statutory original to the statutory original to the statutory.  6 Part of the statutory original to the statutory original to the statutory.  6 Part of the statutory original to the statutory original to the statutory.  7 Part original to the statutor		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ***active***active*** A strain of the communication of the communication of the communication of the process of the process of the process of the communication of the process of								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions durine may be available used the provisions of 3 of R1.136(p.). In or event, however, may a reply be timely fled after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of his communication.  If the period creatly specified size is than than 10% (90) depth, and privation in the case of the communication in the period of the communication is the period of the case of the c			pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nc address				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2001 is/are: a ⊠ accepted or b  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a  approved b  disapproved by the Examiner.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * o	THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 /	<u> May 2001</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
4)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-12.15-18.20.21 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☒ Claim(s) 13.14.19 and 22 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>-</u>							
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved by □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	, —	,,						
6) □ Claim(s) 1-12.15-18.20.21 and 23 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 13.14.19 and 22 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7)  Claim(s) 13.14.19 and 22 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,15-18,20,21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, —	.,						
10)  The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		or election requirement.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 May 2001</u> is/are: a)[	$oxtimes$ accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected to by ${\sf tl}$	he Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
a)	Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* (	application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	nt(s)						
	2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	•				

Application/Control Number: 09/700,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this

or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 5, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated

by Shine et al. (WO 9724600 A1).

Addressing Claim 1, Shine et al. teach a microelectrode system comprising a laminated

structure (the abstract; Figures 3 and 6; and page 7, Il. 1-4) having at least one conducting layer

capable of acting as an electrode (3 or 14 in Figure 3 or 203 or 214 in Figure 6), at least one

dielectric layer (2 in Figure 3 or the unlabeled insulating layer between electrodes 203 and 214 in

Figure 6), and contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer (10

or 17 in Figure 3 or 210 or 217 in Figure 6).

Addressing Claim 2, an aperture defining a non-uniform internal wall may be seen in

Figures 3 and 6.

Art Unit: 1743

Addressing Claim 3, an aperture defining a substantially tubular internal wall in the laminate structure is shown in Figures 3, 4, and 6.

Addressing Claim 5, that the aperture is a through-hole as claimed may be seen in Figures 3 and 6.

Addressing Claim 16, a gold conducting layer is disclosed on page 10, lines 16-19.

Addressing Claim 17, a dielectric layer as claimed is disclosed on page 10, lines 8-15 and Figures 3 and 6.

Addressing Claim 18, syringes are disclosed in Figure 7.

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Friese et al. (US 5,314,604).

Addressing Claim 1, Friese et al. teach a microelectrode system comprising a laminated structure (the abstract; Figures 1A and 2A; and col. 4, ll. 52-54) having at least one conducting layer capable of acting as an electrode (6, 8, or 8' in Figures 1A or 2A), at least one dielectric layer (col. 4, ll. 10-14), and contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer (6', 9', or 11' in Figures 1A, 1B, 2A, or 2B).

Addressing Claim 2, an aperture defining a non-uniform internal wall may be seen in Figures 1A and 2A.

Art Unit: 1743

Addressing Claim 3, an aperture defining a substantially tubular internal wall in the laminate structure is shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Addressing Claim 5, that the aperture is a through-hole as claimed may be seen in Figures 1A and 2A.

Addressing Claim 18, electrode pumps are disclosed in col. 3, ll. 22-25.

Addressing Claim 21, solid electrolyte layers are disclosed in col. 3, ll. 14-20.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 15, 16, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knoll (US 5,393,401).

Addressing Claim 1, Knoll teach an electrode system comprising a laminated structure (the abstract; Figures 4 and 7-10) having at least one conducting layer capable of acting as an electrode (16, 32, or 33 in Figures 4 and 7-10), at least one dielectric layer (15 in Figures 4 and 7-10), and contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer (not shown in the figures but implied by, for example, col. 7, ll. 10-13, which teaches an electrical connection to an integrated circuit). Knoll does not mention that the electrode system is a microelectrode system; however, this is implied by col. 5, ll. 51-54, which teaches that the wafer (which is thicker than the conducting layer) is less than 1 mm thick.

Art Unit: 1743

Addressing Claim 2, an aperture defining a non-uniform internal wall may be seen in Figures 4 and 7-10.

Addressing Claim 4, a plurality of apertures is implied by Figure 4, which shows a schematic for an array of sensors.

Addressing Claim 5, that the aperture is intended to be a through-hole as claimed may be seen from Figure 12.

Addressing Claim 7, electrode 16 or 32 is fictionalized by the ionophore in membrane 7.

Addressing Claim 15, a silver/silver chloride reference electrode is taught in col. 8, ll. 13-19 and col. 8, ll. 37-44.

Addressing Claim 16, a gold conducting layer is disclosed in col. 7, ll. 1-10.

Addressing Claims 21 and 23, in the aperture, level with a dielectric layer, gel, solid electrolyte, and membrane containing ionophore may be present (col. 8, ll. 20-30.

Art Unit: 1743

5. Claims 1-4, 6, 9, 11, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Maracas et al. (US 5,727,977).

Addressing Claim 1, Maracas et al. teach a microelectrode system comprising a laminated structure (the abstract; Figures 1, 15, 18-20, 24, or 30; col. 6, Il. 52-54; and col. 2, Il. 59-61) having at least one conducting layer capable of acting as an electrode (103, 105, 106, 203, 206, 216, 303, 306, 406, 403 or 14 in Figures 1, 15, 18-20, 24, or 30), and at least one dielectric layer (102, 202, 205, 209, 210, 302, 305, 309, 402, 405, 409, 410 in Figures 1, 15, 18-20, 24, or 30). Contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer are not shown in the figures, but are implied by the disclosure since the device is an electron-emitter that emits electrons from the conducting layer.

Addressing Claim 2, an aperture defining a uniform or a non-uniform internal wall may be seen in Figures 1, 15, 18-20, 24, and 30.

Addressing Claim 3, an aperture defining a substantially tubular internal wall in the laminate structure is shown in Figure 20.

Addressing Claim 4, a plurality of apertures is shown in Figure 20.

Addressing Claim 6, an aperture in the form of a well as claimed may be seen in Figures 1, 15, 18, 19, 20, 24, and 30.

Art Unit: 1743

Addressing Claim 9, as seen in Figures 18, 19, 20, 24, and 30 consecutive conducting layers are separated by dielectric layers.

Addressing Claim 11, substantially collinear wells as claimed may be seen in Figure 20.

Addressing Claim 16, a gold conducting layer is disclosed in col. 4, ll. 33-36.

Addressing Claim 20, alternating conducting and dielectric layers are disclosed in Figures 1, 18-20, 24, and 30.

6. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, 15-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Watanabe et al. (US 4,647,362).

Addressing Claim 1, Watanabe et al. teach a microelectrode system comprising a laminated structure (the abstract; Figure 1; and col. 3, 1l. 60-65) having at least one conducting layer capable of acting as an electrode (any metal layer 2 shown Figure 1), at least one dielectric layer (any insulating layer 1 shown in Figure 1), and contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer (any lead 8 shown in Figure 1).

Addressing Claim 2, an aperture defining a uniform internal wall in the laminate structure is shown in Figure 1.

Art Unit: 1743

Addressing Claim 3, an aperture defining a substantially tubular internal wall in the laminate structure is shown in Figure 1.

Addressing Claim 5, that the aperture is a through-hole as claimed may be seen in Figure 1.

Addressing Claim 7, electrode 2 (shown in magnified view as 24 in Figure 1) is fictionalized by ion sensitive layer 3.

Addressing Claim 9, as seen in Figure 1 consecutive conducting layers are separated by dielectric layers.

Addressing Claim 15, a silver/silver chloride reference electrode is taught in col. 4, ll. 2-14.

Addressing Claim 16, a gold conducting layer is disclosed in col. 3, Il. 65-67.

Addressing Claim 17, a dielectric layer as claimed is disclosed in col. 3, ll. 50-59 and Figure 1.

Addressing Claim 20, alternating conducting and dielectric layers are disclosed in Figure 1.

Art Unit: 1743

7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JPO computer translation of Fukuda (JP 06324014 A).

Addressing Claim 1, Fukuda teaches a microelectrode system comprising a laminated structure (the abstract; Drawings 2 and 4-6; paragraph [0022] of the *Detailed Description*; and paragraph [0029] of the *Detailed Description*), having at least one conducting layer capable of acting as an electrode (elements 16 and 18), at least one dielectric layer (14 or 20), and contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer (for example 18b and 16b in Drawing 3(A); also see paragraph [0019] of the *Detailed Description*).

Addressing Claim 2, an aperture defining a non-uniform internal wall in the laminate structure is shown in Drawings 2 and 4-6.

Addressing Claim 4, a plurality of apertures is implied or shown in Drawings 4-6.

Addressing Claim 6, an aperture in the form of a well as claimed may be seen in Drawings 2, 3C, 5, and 6.

Addressing Claim 8, that dielectric layer 20 may be polyisoprene rubber, which inherently absorbs oxygen, is stated in paragraph [0023] of the *Detailed Description*.

Addressing Claim 10, a silicon or polymeric base (14) is taught in paragraph [0037] in the *Detailed Description*.

Art Unit: 1743

Addressing Claim 11, substantially collinear wells as claimed may be seen in Drawings 4-6.

Addressing Claim 16, a gold conducting layer is disclosed in paragraph [0022] in the Detailed Description.

Addressing Claim 23, dielectric layer 14 comprises biological substance 22 (paragraph [0028] in the Detailed Description).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1743

10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 20, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JPO computer translation of Toyama et al. (JP 0921778 A) in view of JPO computer translation of Fukuda (JP 06324014 A).

Addressing Claim 1, Toyama et al. teach an electrode system comprising a laminated structure (the abstract and Drawings 1-3), having at least one conducting layer capable of acting as an electrode (elements 14 and 13), at least one dielectric layer (16, 15, 17, and 12), and contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer (19 and 20).

Toyama et al. do not mention that the electrode system is a microelectrode system, although they do disclose making the electrodes by vacuum deposition, sputtering, screen-printing, electrolysis plating, or electroless deposition (paragraph [0010] of the Detailed Description), which are all techniques usually used for making microelectrodes.

Fukuda teaches a microelectrode system comprising a laminated structure (the abstract; Drawings 2 and 4-6; paragraph [0022] of the *Detailed Description*; and paragraph [0029] of the *Detailed Description*), having at least one conducting layer capable of acting as an electrode

Art Unit: 1743

(elements 16 and 18), at least one dielectric layer (14 or 20), and contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer (for example 18b and 16b in Drawing 3(A);

also see paragraph [0019] of the Detailed Description). In particular, Fukuda teaches electrodes

made by vacuum deposition having a thickness of 0.3 micrometers (paragraph [0029] of the

Detailed Description). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made have the electrodes have at least one dimension less than 1 mm as

taught by Fukuda in the invention of Toyama et al. because this will help keep the overall size of

the sensor small, which is desired, as seen in the sections entitled Effect of the Invention and

Technical Problem of Toyama et al.

Addressing Claim 2, an aperture defining a uniform internal wall in the laminate structure is shown in Drawings 1-3 of Toyama et al.

Addressing Claim 3, an aperture defining a substantially tubular internal wall in the laminate structure is shown in Drawings 1-3 of Toyama et al.

Addressing Claim 6, an aperture in the form of a well as claimed may be seen in Drawings 1A, 2A, and 3C of Toyoma et al.

Addressing Claim 7, electrodes 13 and 14 are fictionalized by direct contact with layer 12, in which glucose oxidase is fixed (paragraph [0006] in the *Detailed Description* of Toyama et al.).

Art Unit: 1743

Addressing Claim 10, a polymeric base is implied by paragraph [0014] in the *Detailed Description* of Toyama et al., which teaches that base 17 is made of a flexible resin.

Addressing Claim 20, alternating conducting and dielectric layers are disclosed in Drawings 1-3 of Toyama et al.

Addressing Claim 23, dielectric layer 12 contains an enzyme (paragraph [0006] in the Detailed Description and the abstract of Toyama et al.)

12. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JPO computer translation of Fukuda (JP 06324014 A).

Fukuda teaches a microelectrode system comprising a laminated structure (the abstract; Drawings 2 and 4-6; paragraph [0022] of the *Detailed Description*; and paragraph [0029] of the *Detailed Description*), having at least one conducting layer capable of acting as an electrode (elements 16 and 18), at least one dielectric layer (14 or 20), and contact means for allowing electrical contact with at least one conducing layer (for example 18b and 16b in Drawing 3(A); also see paragraph [0019] of the *Detailed Description*). Fukuda further teaches at least one pair of substantially collinear wells having a common bottom in Drawings 4-6. Barring evidence to the contrary, such as unexpected results, having more than one pair of wells is just multiplication of parts, which is obvious. With more wells a greater number of different tests can be performed. Note Claim 2 of Fukuda, which claims more than two test sections.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,649 Page 14

Art Unit: 1743

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 14, 19, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 14. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- a) Claim 13: in Fukuda the well bottom comprises glass and a biological substance (paragraphs [0027]-[0029] of the *Detailed Description*). Fukuda appears to actually teach away from a material such as ion exchange material because he states that entrapping "elasticity" (polymer membrane?) is unnecessary to immobilize the biological substance (paragraph [0028] of the *Detailed Description*);
  - b) Claim 14: none of the cited prior art discloses an organic conducting layer;
- c) Claim 19: none of the cited prior art teaches a piezoelectric vibrator or an ultrasonic probe;
- d) Claim 22: means to apply physical or chemical gradients to potentials to the specialized layer has not been found in the cited prior art;
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX NOGUEROLA whose telephone number is (703) 305-5686. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JILL WARDEN can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ole Mogrerale Alex Noguerola

December 30, 2002